

# A note on coloring line arrangements

Eyal Ackerman

Department of Mathematics, Physics, and Computer Science  
University of Haifa at Oranim  
Tivon 36006, Israel  
ackerman@sci.haifa.ac.il

János Pach\*

EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland  
and Alfréd Rényi Institute, Budapest, Hungary  
pach@cims.nyu.edu

Rom Pinchasi<sup>†</sup>

Mathematics Department  
Technion—Israel Institute of Technology  
Haifa 32000, Israel  
room@math.technion.ac.il

Radoš Radoičić

Department of Mathematics, Baruch College  
City University of New York, New York, U.S.A.  
rados.radoicic@baruch.cuny.edu

Géza Tóth<sup>‡</sup>

Alfréd Rényi Institute  
Budapest, Hungary  
geza@renyi.hu

Submitted: Aug 26, 2012; Accepted: Apr 26, 2014; Published: May 9, 2014

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 52C30

## Abstract

We show that the lines of every arrangement of  $n$  lines in the plane can be colored with  $O(\sqrt{n/\log n})$  colors such that no face of the arrangement is monochromatic. This improves a bound of Bose et al. by a  $\Theta(\sqrt{\log n})$  factor. Any further improvement on this bound would also improve the best known lower bound on the following problem of Erdős: estimate the maximum number of points in general position within a set of  $n$  points containing no four collinear points.

**Keywords:** Arrangements of lines, chromatic number, sparse hypergraphs.

---

\*Supported by NSF grant CCF-08-30272, by Hungarian Science Foundation EuroGIGA Grant OTKA NN 102029, and by Swiss National Science Foundation grants 200021-137574 and 200020-144531.

<sup>†</sup>Supported by ISF grant (grant No. 1357/12).

<sup>‡</sup>Supported by Hungarian Science Foundation Grant OTKA K 83767 and NN 102029.

# 1 Introduction

Given a *simple* arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of a set  $L$  of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (no parallel lines and no three lines going through the same point), decomposing the plane into the set  $C$  of cells (i.e. maximal connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus L$ ), Bose et al. [1] defined a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}} = (L, C)$  with the vertex set  $L$  (the set of lines of  $\mathcal{A}$ ), and each hyperedge  $c \in C$  being defined by the set of lines forming the boundary of a cell of  $\mathcal{A}$ . They initiated the study of the chromatic number of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}$ , and proved that for  $|L| = n$ ,  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}) = O(\sqrt{n})$  and  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$ . In other words, they proved that the lines of every simple arrangement of  $n$  lines can be colored with  $O(\sqrt{n})$  colors so that there is no monochromatic face; furthermore, they provided an intricate construction of a simple arrangement of  $n$  lines that requires  $\Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$  colors.

In this short note, we improve their upper bound by a  $\Theta(\sqrt{\log n})$  factor, and extend it to not necessarily simple arrangements.

**Theorem 1.** *The lines of every arrangement of  $n$  lines in the plane can be colored with  $O(\sqrt{n/\log n})$  colors so that no face of the arrangement is monochromatic.*

A set of points in the plane is in *general position* if it does not contain three collinear points. Let  $\alpha(S)$  denote the maximum number of points in general position in a set  $S$  of points in the plane, and let  $\alpha_4(n)$  be the minimum of  $\alpha(S)$  taken over all sets  $S$  of  $n$  points in the plane with no four point on a line. Erdős pointed out that  $\alpha_4(n) \leq n/3$  and suggested the problem of determining or estimating  $\alpha_4(n)$ . Füredi [3] proved that  $\Omega(\sqrt{n \log n}) \leq \alpha_4(n) \leq o(n)$ .

We observe that any improvement of the bound in Theorem 1 would immediately imply a better lower bound for  $\alpha_4(n)$ . Indeed, suppose that  $\chi(A) \leq k(n)$  for any arrangement of  $n$  lines, and let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points, no four on a line. Let  $P^*$  be the dual arrangement of a slightly perturbed  $P$  (according to the usual point-line duality, see, e.g., [2, § 8.2]). Color  $P^*$  with  $k(n)$  colors such that no face is monochromatic, let  $S^* \subseteq P^*$  be the largest color class, and let  $S$  be its dual point set. Observe that the size of  $S$  is at least  $n/k(n)$  and it does not contain three collinear points, since the three lines that correspond to any three collinear points in  $P$  bound a face of size three in  $P^*$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of a set  $L$  of  $n$  lines, decomposing the plane into the set  $C$  of cells, and let  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}$  be the corresponding hypergraph (defined as in the previous section).

We show that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}) = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}}\right)$ .

An independent set in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}$  is a set  $S \subset L$  such that for every  $c \in C$ ,  $c$  is not a subset of  $S$  (in other words, no cell of  $\mathcal{A}$  has its boundary formed only by lines in  $S$ ). The proof is based on the following fact.

**Theorem 2.** *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that the size  $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}})$  of the maximum independent set is at least  $c\sqrt{n \log n}$ .*

We color the lines in  $\mathcal{A}$  so that no face is monochromatic by following the same method as in [1] (where they used the weaker version of Theorem 2 stating  $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ ). That is, we iteratively find a large independent set of lines (whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2), color them with the same (new) color, and remove them from  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Clearly, this algorithm produces a valid coloring. We verify, by induction on  $n$ , that at most  $\frac{2}{c}\sqrt{n/\log n}$  colors are used in this coloring. We assume the bound is valid for all  $n \leq 256$  (by taking sufficiently small  $c > 0$ ). For  $n > 256$ , we have  $\log 4 < \frac{1}{4} \log n$ . Let  $i$  be the smallest integer such that after  $i$  iterations the number of remaining lines is at most  $n/4$ . Since in each of these iterations at least  $c\sqrt{\frac{n}{4} \log \frac{n}{4}} \geq c\sqrt{\frac{n}{8} \log n}$  vertices (lines) are removed,  $i \leq \frac{n/4}{c\sqrt{\frac{n}{8} \log n}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c}\sqrt{n/\log n}$ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis the number of colors that the algorithm uses is at most

$$\begin{aligned} i + \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\frac{\frac{n}{4}}{\log \frac{n}{4}}} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} + \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n - \frac{1}{4} \log n}} \\ &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}c}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} + \frac{\sqrt{4/3}}{c}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} < \frac{2}{c}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on a result on independent sets in sparse hypergraphs. Given a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  on a vertex set  $V$ , the sub-hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}[X]$  induced by  $X \subset V$  consists of all edges of  $\mathcal{H}$  that are contained in  $X$ . A hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  is  $k$ -uniform if every edge  $e \in E$  has size  $k$ . Given a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  and a set  $S \subset V$  with  $|S| = k - 1$ , the co-degree of  $S$  is the number of all vertices  $v \in V$  such that  $S \cup \{v\} \in E$ . Kostochka et al. [4] proved that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph,  $k \geq 3$ , with all co-degrees at most  $d$ , then  $\alpha(\mathcal{H}) \geq c_k \left(\frac{n}{d} \log \frac{n}{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$ , where  $c_k > 0$ .

In fact, a careful look at their proof reveals the following result, that we state for 3-uniform hypergraphs, since this is the case that we need.

**Lemma 2.1** ([4]). *Let  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  be a 3-uniform hypergraph on  $|V| = n$  vertices with all co-degrees at most  $d$ ,  $d < n/(\log n)^{12}$ . Let  $X$  be a random subset of  $V$ , obtained by choosing each vertex of  $V$  independently with probability  $p = \frac{n^{-2/5}}{(d \log \log n)^{3/5}}$ . Let  $Z$  be a set chosen uniformly at random among all the independent sets of  $\mathcal{H}[X]$ . Then, with high probability  $|Z| = \Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ .*

With Lemma 2.1 in hand we can now prove Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2:* A cell of an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an  $r$ -cell, if  $r$  lines of  $L$  are forming its boundary. Let  $\mathcal{H}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}$  be the 3-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set  $L$  being the set of lines, and each hyperedge defined by the triple of lines forming the boundary of a 3-cell of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since any two lines can participate in the boundaries of at most four 3-cells of  $\mathcal{A}$ , all co-degrees of  $\mathcal{H}$  are at most  $d = 4$ . Now, as in Lemma 2.1, let  $X$  be a random subset of  $L$ , obtained by choosing each line in  $L$  independently with probability

$p = \frac{n^{-2/5}}{(4 \log \log \log n)^{3/5}}$ . Since there are  $O(n^2)$  faces in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $O(n)$  of them are 2-cells (since every line can bound at most four such faces), the expected number of 2-cells of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}[X]$  is  $O(p^2 n) = o(\sqrt{n \log n})$ , and the expected number of  $r$ -cells,  $r \geq 4$ , of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}[X]$  is  $O(p^4 n^2) = o(\sqrt{n \log n})$ . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that there exists a set  $Z \subset X \subset L$  of size  $\Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ , that is an independent set of  $\mathcal{H}_\Delta[X]$ , and such that the number of  $r$ -cells,  $r \neq 3$ , of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}[Z]$  is  $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$ . Removing from  $Z$  one vertex (line) for each such  $r$ -cell, we obtain an independent set of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{line-cell}}$  of size  $\Omega(\sqrt{n \log n})$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. Bose, J. Cardinal, S. Collette, F. Hurtado, S. Langerman, M. Korman, and P. Taslakian, Coloring and guarding arrangements, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science* **15** (2013), 139–154. Also in: *28th European Workshop on Computational Geometry (EuroCG)*, March 19–21, 2012, Assisi, Perugia, Italy, 89–92.
- [2] M. de Berg, O. Cheong, M. van Krefeld, M. Overmars, *Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications*, 3rd edition, Springer, 2008.
- [3] Z. Füredi, Maximal independent subsets in Steiner systems and in planar sets, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* **4** (1991), 196–199.
- [4] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, J. Verstraëte, On independent sets in hypergraphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* **44** (2014), 224–239.